



കേരള ഗസറ്റ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3 Vol. III	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 ജനുവരി 7 7th January 2014 1189 ധനു 23 23rd Dhanu 1189 1935 പൗഷം 17 17th Pousha 1935	നമ്പർ No.	1
----------------------	---	---	--------------	---

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 1868/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Aboobacker, V. K., Managing Director, M/s Safty Foods Pvt. Limited, Thirur Road, Kottakkal P. O., Malappuram-676 503 (2) Sri Abdul Raszak (Partner), M/s Safty Foods Pvt. Limited, Vettanam Kadavathu House, Kanmmanam P. O., Kalpakacheri Via., Malappuram (3) Sri V. P. Saidalikutty Haji (Partner), M/s Safty Foods Pvt. Limited, Vaaniyam

Peediyakkal House, Kanjippura P. O., Malappuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Santhiprakash, P., Palapparambil House, Padijattummuri P. O., Koottilangadi Via., Malappuram-676 506 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Santhiprakash, P., Area Sales Officer, by the management of M/s. Safty Foods Pvt. Limited, Kottakkal is justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1869/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Biju s/o Rajappan, Puthukkadan House, Puthenvelikkara, North Paravoor, (2) Sri Mathew s/o Cheekku, Kaimathuruthy House, Puthenvelikkara, North Paravoor, (3) Sri Apream s/o Thachankunji Vareethu, Poyya, Kodungalloor and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. G. Suresh s/o Govindankutty, Kuttichira House, Ancheri P. O., Pin-680 006 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the transfer of Sri K. G. Suresh, Worker, T. S. No. 26, Kurichikkara to Kaiparambu Toddy Shop is justifiable? If not, what remedy he is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1888/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Malappuram Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, P. B. No. 206, Down Hill P. O., Malappuram-676 519 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the (1) General Secretary, Malappuram Spinning Mills Employees Congress (INTUC), Pattarkadavu P. O., Malappuram-676 519, (2) General Secretary, Malappuram Spinning Mills Employees Union (CITU), Pattarkadavu P. O., Malappuram- 676 519, (3) General Secretary, Malappuram Spinning Mills Employees Organization (STU), Pattarkadavu P. O, Malappuram-676 519 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the non payment of Bonus for the year 2011-12 by the management, Malappuram Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited is justifiable? If not, what is remedy?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1889/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Sri Padmabalaji Alloys (P) Limited, 308/1, New Industrial Development Area, Menonpara Road, Kanjikode, Palakkad-678 621 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri S. B. Biju, General Secretary, Palakkad Taluk Engineering & Industrial General Workers Union (CITU), Puthusserry P. O., Palakkad-7 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Stella Amalu Mary, Employees, Sri Padmabalaji Alloys (P) Limited, Kanjikode, Palakkad by the management is justifiable or not; if not, what relief she is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1916/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, S. S. Hyundai, Mavelikara and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Automobile Showroom and Services Employees Union (AITUC), Chadayammuri Smarakam, Opposite District Court, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Manoj Kumar, Dentor, S. S. Hyundai Unit, Mavelikkara and to Smt. L. Ambili, Office Staff of Hyundai Unit, Kayamkulam by the management S. S. Hyundai Mavelikkara is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs they are entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.
